IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

WILDEARTH GUARDIANS, et al.,

ORDER FOR SCHEDULE

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:16-CV-168 DN

v.

District Judge David Nuffer

SALLY JEWELL, et al.,

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Within 14 days after the first answer is filed, Plaintiff shall propose a schedule to Defendant in the form of a draft <u>Attorney Planning Meeting Report</u>. A motion seeking relief from this requirement may be filed and heard ex parte by the magistrate judge handling civil scheduling.
- 2. Within 28 days after the first answer is filed (or such other time as may be ordered) the parties shall meet and confer and file a jointly signed Attorney Planning Meeting
 Report (which shall outline any areas of disagreement and each party's position) and shall send a proposed Scheduling Order in word processing format to ipt@utd.uscourts.gov.
- 3. Each party shall make initial disclosures 42 days after the first answer is filed.

 This deadline is not dependent on filing of an Attorney Planning Meeting Report or entry of a Scheduling Order.
 - 4. The parties are urged to propose a schedule providing for:
 - Fact Discovery completion no more than six months after the first answer is filed.

- b. Expert Reports from the party with burden of proof on that issue 28 days after fact discovery is complete, and responsive reports 28 days thereafter.
- c. Expert Discovery complete 28 days after filing of an expert's report.
- d. Dispositive Motion filing deadline no more than 10 months after the first answer is filed.

Signed March 25, 2016.

BY THE COURT